



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

**Siemens Corporation**  
**Intellectual Property Department**  
**170 Wood Avenue South**  
**Iselin NJ 08830**

**MAILED**  
**DEC 15 2010**  
**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of  
Osman Ahmed  
Application No. 10/672,527  
Filed: September 26, 2003  
Attorney Docket No. 2003P14889US

DECISION ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under the unintentional provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed November 2, 2010, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the final Office action mailed, April 11, 2008, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months. No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Accordingly, the application became abandoned on July 12, 2008. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on March 3, 2009.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of a Notice of Appeal, with the required fee of \$540, and Appeal Brief, with the required fee of \$540, (2) the petition fee of \$1,620, and (3) a proper statement of unintentional delay. Accordingly the Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief are accepted as being unintentionally delayed.

It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due

date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

The application file does not indicate a change of address has been filed in this case, although the address given on the petition differs from the address of record. A change of address should be filed in this case in accordance with MPEP 601.03. A courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the address noted on the petition. However, until otherwise instructed, all future correspondence regarding this application will be mailed solely to the address of record.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Kimberly Inabinet at (571) 272-4618.

This application is being referred to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for appropriate action in the normal course of business for processing of the reply received November 2, 2010.

/Kimberly Inabinet/

Kimberly Inabinet  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions

cc: David R. Moorman  
Maginot, Moore & Beck  
111 Monument Circle  
Chase Tower 3250  
Indianapolis, IN 46204